## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

RAPHAEL ROANE,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-58 (BAILEY)

C. WILLIAMS,

Respondent.

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Michael John Aloi. [Doc. 16]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Aloi for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Aloi filed his R&R on March 9, 2016, wherein he recommends this Court deny and dismiss the petitioner's § 2241 petition with prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Aloi's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of service, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on March 11, 2016. [Doc. 17]. No

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 16] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that the petitioner's § 2241 petition [Doc. 1] be DENIED and DISMISSED WITH

PREJUDICE. This Court further ORDERS that the respondent's Motion to Dismiss or for

Summary Judgment and Response to Order to Show Cause [Doc. 11] be GRANTED, and

**DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the respondent and to **STRIKE** this case

from the active docket of this Court.

As a final matter, upon an independent review of the record, this Court hereby

**DENIES** a certificate of appealability, finding that the petitioner has failed to make "a

substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel or record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

**DATED:** March 30, 2016.

DISTATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2